

Research Article

A PCR-based assay to facilitate early detection of *Diplosoma listerianum* in Atlantic Canada

Jessica E. Willis^{1,2,φ*}, Sarah Stewart-Clark^{2,3}, Spencer J. Greenwood^{2,4,φ}, Jeff Davidson³ and Pedro Quijon¹

¹Dept. of Biology, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Ave, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

²Dept. of Pathology and Microbiology, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Ave, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

³Dept. of Health Management, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Ave, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

⁴AVC Lobster Science Centre, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Ave, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

E-mail: jwillis@upei.ca (JEW), pquijon@upei.ca (PQ), seclark@upei.ca (SSC), sgreenwood@upei.ca (SJG), davidson@upei.ca (NS)

*Corresponding author

^φPresent address: Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Ave, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

Received: 15 July 2010 / Accepted: 25 November 2010 / Published online: 6 December 2010

Abstract

The recent detection of the invasive colonial tunicate *Diplosoma listerianum* in Havre- Aubert, Magdalen Islands (Quebec, Canada) in 2008, prompted the development of a molecular assay as a method to detect and monitor for the potential invasion of this species in Prince Edward Island. The aim of this study was to design a highly sensitive, species-specific Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) assay capable of detecting *D. listerianum* with a high efficacy in local water samples. To accomplish this, oligonucleotide primer sets were designed from the 18S rDNA gene of *D. listerianum*. Primer sets were evaluated for specificity using the GenBank database, followed by a series of spiked water sample trials involving various tunicate species. Assay efficacy was tested and then evaluated by conducting spiked water sample trials using *D. listerianum* samples from two different geographic locations (Japan and Canada). Primer sets that were shown to be species specific were then tested for their analytical sensitivity and environmental efficacy by spiking local water samples with various amounts of *D. listerianum* tissue. The primer set DlistF1/DlistR1 was found to be species specific and yielded no false positive results when tested with tissue from the four invasive tunicate species currently present on Prince Edward Island (PEI) (*Styela clava*, *Botryllus schlosseri*, *Botrylloides violaceus*, and *Ciona intestinalis*). This assay was also capable of detecting *D. listerianum* DNA from two different populations, demonstrating its potential for use in other geographic locations, which may possess different haplotypes of the species. As the results of this study demonstrate, the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay has a high analytical sensitivity, detecting DNA from as little as 1 zooid in a water sample, and was not inhibited when tested with water samples collected from various bays across both PEI and the Magdalen Islands. The DlistF1/DlistR1 molecular assay provides a monitoring tool for shellfish aquaculture regions and can be used to facilitate early detection of this species. This level of early detection is beneficial to facilitate the implementation of mitigation programs in time to prevent *D. listerianum* from reaching nuisance levels.

Key words: 18S rDNA, aquatic invasive species, aquaculture, *Diplosoma*, detection, PCR, tunicate

Introduction

Several thousand aquatic invasive species (AIS) are transported globally in ship ballast water, on boat hulls and in sea chests every day (Smith et al. 1996; Lambert 2007). Once established, these species can be difficult if not impossible to eradicate, and can have severe consequences to marine aquaculture operations, fisheries, native biodiversity, and the integrity of coastal ecosystems (Anil et al. 2002; Hayes et al. 2005).

There are currently four invasive tunicate species that are causing challenges in Prince Edward Island (PEI) Canada: *Ciona intestinalis* Linnaeus, 1767, *Styela clava* Herdman, 1881, *Botrylloides violaceus* Oka, 1927, and *Botryllus schlosseri* Pallas, 1774 (Ramsay et al. 2008). These invasive tunicates attach to both natural and artificial substrates and are capable of fouling mussel lines and aquaculture gear including buoys, ropes, cages, and moorings (Astudillo et al. 2009; Darbyson et al. 2009).

Due to heavy fouling, these tunicates affect labour and costs associated with the mussel aquaculture industry in PEI (Thompson and McNair 2004; Locke et al. 2007; Ramsay et al. 2008; Arsenault et al. 2009; Gittenberger 2009).

In October 2008, the first official documented sighting of the invasive tunicate *Diplosoma listerianum* Milne-Edwards, 1841 in the Magdalen Islands in the Gulf of St. Lawrence was recorded. Species identity was confirmed using morphological and molecular methods (Nathalie Simard, Sarah Stewart-Clark, and Mary Carman–Personal Communication).

D. listerianum is widespread throughout Europe but has spread globally (Lambert 2001; Rocha et al. 2009) including areas such as Japan, Madagascar, Australia, South Africa, Peru, Brazil, Atlantic-Central America, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom (Yamaguchi 1975; Millar 1988; Kott 2001; Monniot 2001; Sanamyan and Schories 2004; Rocha and Kremer 2005; Rocha et al. 2005; Gittenberger 2007; Vance et al. 2008). *D. listerianum* has also spread along the Eastern United States, including the Great Bay estuary in New Hampshire (Dijkstra and Harris 2007) and throughout New England within the Gulf of Maine (Harris et al. 1998; Harris and Tyrell 2001; Dijkstra et al. 2007; Carman and Grunden 2010).

D. listerianum has been documented as a highly successful invader, rapidly colonizing available primary space as well as outcompeting other neighbouring invasive tunicates, including *B. schlosseri* (Schmidt and Warner, 1986; Altman and Whitlatch 2007; Vance et al. 2008). Since *D. listerianum* has been found to colonize mussel lines (Gittenberger 2009; Rocha et al. 2009) there is concern that bivalve aquaculture farmers may have yet another tunicate species fouling their mussel lines should *D. listerianum* successfully establish itself in Atlantic Canada.

Taking into consideration factors such as climate, current distribution, and potential transport vectors, *D. listerianum* was recently classified as a potential invader to Atlantic Canada (Locke 2009), and thus its sighting in the region was not completely unanticipated. Nonetheless, based on the success of invasions in this region by other invasive tunicate species, *D. listerianum* poses an ecological threat to local benthic communities as well as a financial threat to the mussel aquaculture industry in Atlantic Canada.

Due to the close proximity of the Magdalen Islands to Prince Edward Island (PEI) there is

concern that this AIS may spread to PEI via recreational boating, commercial fishing vessels, or the Souris/Cap-aux-Meules Cooperative of Transport Maritime and the Air (CTMA) Group ferry. Due to the risk that invasive species pose to ecosystems and the shellfish aquaculture industry, efforts should be directed to better predict and halt introductions of potentially harmful species (McKindsey et al. 2007; Mouland 2008). It is also important to monitor for seasonal sexual reproduction in currently invaded areas as this provides bivalve aquaculture farmers with an indication of when they should annually begin and finish treating their mussel lines in order to prevent excessive tunicate colonization. A common mitigation strategy for invasive tunicates fouling suspended mussel lines is to spray the lines with a high pressure water system (Paetzold and Davidson 2010). However, removal of the tunicates creates more available space on which new recruits may settle, and thus monitoring for tunicate reproduction can provide a good indication of when and how often mussel lines require treatment throughout the year.

Molecular tools have previously proven useful for the early identification of planktonic larvae and the monitoring of the new recruitment of various AIS (Burton 1996; Deagle et al. 2003; Patil et al. 2005; Boeger et al. 2007; Darling and Tepolt 2008; Jones et al. 2008; Harvey et al. 2009). Recently, molecular assays have been developed for the early detection of the four invasive tunicates currently present on PEI (Stewart-Clark et al. 2009). In addition, these assays have been successfully used in rapid assessments conducted by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and have been implemented in current monitoring efforts in many areas of PEI (our data).

The objective of this study was to design a highly sensitive, species specific DNA assay for the early detection of *D. listerianum* in water samples from across PEI and the Magdalen Islands, Quebec. The 18S rDNA gene was chosen as the target gene due to the evolutionarily conserved nature of the gene (Gonzalez and Schmickel 1986). The small number of variable regions in the 18S rDNA gene is helpful in designing primers to amplify different species where only subtle genomic differences exist (Stach and Turbeville 2002). The 18S rDNA has proven to be a very successful genetic marker as species specific molecular assays have been developed for many marine organisms including

surf clams, nematodes, and tunicates (Bell and Grassle 1998; Oliveira et al. 2005; Stewart-Clark et al. 2009). Primer sets were first screened for their efficacy and specificity in detecting *D. listerianum* against other local invasive tunicate species and various other *Diplosoma* species from other geographic regions.

Primer sets were then evaluated to assess their analytical sensitivity and efficacy in environmental water samples. The lower limit of detection tested in this study was 1 single zooid, which is the smallest individual unit within the colony. The ultimate goal of this study was to develop an assay that can be used in future monitoring efforts for *D. listerianum* across PEI and the Magdalen Islands, or modified and optimized for use in other geographic regions.

Materials and methods

Primer Design

As there were no 18S rDNA sequences for *Diplosoma listerianum* available in GenBank, PCR amplification of the 18S rDNA gene was required for sequence alignment and initial primer design. To generate an 18S rDNA sequence for *D. listerianum*, DNA was extracted from a preserved tissue sample found in Havre Aubert, Magdalen Islands, Quebec (denoted MAG C) and a 502 base pair segment of the 18S rDNA gene was amplified using the universal 18S rDNA primers CASIS/CAS2 (Le Roux et al. 1999). PCR amplicons were sequenced in both directions at the Laboratory Services, University of Guelph. The *D. listerianum* 18S rDNA partial sequence from the Magdalen Islands (denoted MAG C) (GenBank accession no. HM641906) and Japan (GenBank accession no. HM641905) were aligned using Clustal W with the 18S rDNA sequences of *Diplosoma simileguwa* Hirose and Oka, 2005 (GenBank accession no. AB211108.1, AB211107.1), *Diplosoma simile* (GenBank accession no. AB211106.1, AB211105.1, AB211104.1, AB211103.1, AB211102.1, AB211101.1), *Diplosoma ooru* Hirose and Suetsugu, 2005 (GenBank accession no. AB211100.1, AB211099.1, AB211098.1, HM641902), *Diplosoma virens* (GenBank accession no. AB211109.1, AB211110.1, AB211111.1, AB211112.1, AB211113.1, AB211114.1, AB211115.1), *Diplosoma watanabei* Hirose and Oka, 2009 (GenBank accession no. HM641904), *Diplosoma sp.* (GenBank accession no. AB211118.1, AB211116.1, AB211119.1,

AB211121.1, AB211120.1, AB211117.1), *Diplosoma aggregatum* (GenBank accession no. HM641903), *Diplosoma variostigmatum* (GenBank accession no. HM641901), and *Diplosoma gumavirens* Hirose and Oka, 2009 (GenBank accession no. HM641900), as well as with 18S rDNA sequences of native and invasive tunicate species that are present on PEI. These included *S. clava* (GenBank accession no. FM897318.1, L12442.1), *B. schlosseri* (GenBank accession no. FM897303.1, AB211066.1), *C. intestinalis* (GenBank accession no. AJ250778.1, AB013017.1), and *Molgula sp.* (GenBank accession no. L12426.2, AY040738.1, L12434.2). Primers were then designed from these aligned 18S rDNA sequences based on regions that were unique to *D. listerianum*. Each 18S rDNA primer generated in this study for *D. listerianum* was entered into NCBI BLAST (National Centre for Biotechnology Information - Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (Altschul et al. 1997) to observe their resemblance to genes of organisms contained in GenBank so as to ensure that they were only specific to *D. listerianum*.

Each primer set was screened for proper melting point range and the propensity to form hairpin structures, homodimers, and heterodimers using the IDT SciTools Oligoanalyzer 3.1 (<http://www.idtdna.com/analyzer/Applications/OligoAnalyzer/>) to ensure that all primers were suitable candidates for PCR amplification. Four primer sets were chosen and used in further laboratory evaluations (DlistF1/DlistR1, DlistF2/DlistR2, DlistF3/DlistR1, and DlistF4/DlistR1) (Table 1).

Specificity Testing

Various tissue samples were collected to ensure efficacy and specificity of the designed assay. Tissues included *D. listerianum* samples from both the Magdalen Islands (MAG C) and Japan as well as various other *Diplosoma* species collected from Japan: *D. aggregatum*, *D. watanabei*, *D. variostigmatum*, *D. ooru*, and *D. gumavirens* (Table 2). Extracted DNA of these various species underwent PCR with each of the four designed primer sets. All DNA extractions were performed using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Canada) following the manufacturer's protocol. The same procedure was repeated with samples of *S. clava*, *B. schlosseri*, *B. violaceus*, and *C. intestinalis* that were collected on PEI to ensure that the designed primer sets did not amplify other tunicate species.

Table 1. Sequences for primers designed in this study for *Diplosoma listerianum*.

Primers	Sequence 5' -3'	Location	Annealing Temp (°C)
DlistF1	CGA GGC GAA CCG AAA ACT TTG TAA ACT TG	207-234	69.0
DlistR1	GGG GAA CGG TCT ACC AGT ATG AAC	389-412	69.0
DlistF2	GGT CGC TTG CCG TTT CGC TTG AA	173-195	55.0
DlistR2	CCA AGC GGA GCC GTC TCT TTT CAT T	342-366	55.0
DlistF3	TTT CGC TTG AAT GCG CGG CAG	185-205	69.0
DlistF4	AAA ACT TTG TAA ACT TGA CGG ACC GGC	219-245	69.0

Table 2. Collection data for tunicate tissue samples used in this study.

Species	Collection Date	Collection site	Geographic Coordinates
<i>Diplosoma gumavirens</i>	Oct 26, 2007	Japan; Okinawa prefecture, Ishigakijima Is., Hirakubo lighthouse	N 24°36'45" E 124°18'56"
<i>Diplosoma ooru</i>	Oct 25, 2007	Japan; Okinawa prefecture, Ishigakijima Is., Shiraho village	N 24°20'57" E 124°15'19"
<i>Diplosoma variostigmatum</i>	Oct 26, 2007	Japan; Okinawa prefecture, Ishigakijima Is., Hirakubo lighthouse	N 24°36'45" E 124°18'56"
<i>Diplosoma aggregatum</i>	Aug 2, 2008	Japan; Okinawa prefecture, Ishigakijima Is., Muiga cliff	N 24°43'31" E 125°22'50"
<i>Diplosoma watanabei</i>	Dec 10, 2008	Japan; Okinawa prefecture, Ishigakijima Is., Ngamahama	N 24°43'38" E 125°14'24"
<i>Diplosoma listerianum</i> (MAG C)	Oct. 5, 2008	Havre Aubert, Magdalen Islands, Québec, Canada	N 47°14'08.9" W 061°50'03.3"
<i>Diplosoma listerianum</i>	Jul 16, 2009	Misaki Shipyard docks, Japan	N 35°10'25.8" E 139°37'03.6"
<i>Botryllus schlosseri</i>	Jun 26, 2009	St. Peter's Bay, PEI	N 46°25'08.8" W 62°35'35.4"
<i>Ciona intestinalis</i>	Jul 20, 2009	St. Mary's Bay, PEI	N 46°07'39.8" W 62°29'04.5"
<i>Styela clava</i>	Jul 20, 2009	Savage Harbour, PEI	N 46°24'58.4" W 62°51'00"
<i>Botrylloides violaceus</i>	Jul 20, 2009	St. Mary's Bay, PEI	N 46°07'39.8" W 62°29'04.5"

Analytical Sensitivity Testing

The analytical sensitivity of the primer sets was evaluated using colonies (consisting of 5-6 zooids) and individual zooids of *D. listerianum* that were dissected from preserved tissue using a dissecting microscope. Five replicates of each quantity of tunicate material were tested. Individual zooids and colonies were placed into 1 mL of nuclease free water. Samples were then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 2 minutes, after which all of the excess water was removed. A Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Canada) was used to extract DNA following the manufacturer's instructions until the final step, at which point only 20 µL of AE buffer was added (as opposed to 200 µL) for individual zooids, or 50 µL for single colonies. The quantity and purity of all extracted DNA (tissue samples as well as spiked and non-spiked water samples) was evaluated using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer.

Water Sampling and Evaluation

Water samples were collected from various locations to test the efficacy and analytical sensitivity of the primer sets in environmental water samples which may naturally contain PCR inhibitors (Wilson 1997; Radstrom et al. 2004; Mountfort and Hayden 2007) (Table 3). Water samples from Cardigan Bay, Marchwater Bay, and Montague River were collected from the surface using sterile 50 mL collection tubes for use in analyzing assay efficacy in local environmental conditions. Water samples for preliminary evaluation (3 from Souris Harbour and 1 from Havre Aubert) were collected using a 64 µm plankton sieve that was raised and lowered within the water column at a rate of 50 L/min for 3 minutes (Smith 2009) to depths ranging from 0.5 metres to 3 metres. This water sampling method was chosen as it is the industry

standard used in provincial rapid assessments for invasive tunicates, and it has been shown to be sufficient for collection of tunicate larvae and eggs (Gill et al. 2007). All water samples were returned to the lab and stored at -80°C . Water samples were thawed as needed for the experiment and aliquoted into a total of 11 sub-samples from each location, 5 of which were spiked with colonies and 5 spiked with individual zooids. These spiked water samples were centrifuged at a speed of $450 \times g$ for 5 minutes to separate pelleted material from the supernatant. The supernatant was then removed and pelleted material of all samples was transferred to 1.5mL tubes. DNA was extracted following the procedure described above. The remaining sub-sample from each location was saved for use in negative controls during PCR reactions to demonstrate that water samples did not naturally possess any *D. listerianum* DNA. A preliminary evaluation of water samples from Souris Harbour and Havre Aubert for *D. listerianum* was conducted by performing DNA extractions as described above without spiking to screen for the presence of *D. listerianum*.

PCR and Agarose Gel Electrophoresis PCR were performed in a 25 μL volume containing 12.5 μL Amplitaq Gold Master Mix (Applied Biosystems manufactured by Roche, Branchburg, New Jersey), 9.5 μL Qiagen Nuclease Free Water, 1 μL forward primer (10 μM), 1 μL reverse primer (10 μM), and 1 μL of undiluted target DNA. In each reaction that was performed a series of negative controls were included, in which the 1 μL of DNA was substituted with an additional 1 μL of Nuclease Free Water. Positive controls that consisted of 1 μL of DNA extracted from a preserved tissue sample of *D. listerianum* from the Magdalen Islands (MAG C) were included in subsequent reactions following assay efficacy experiments. DNA was denatured for 3 minutes at 92°C , followed by 34 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, a primer annealing period of 1 minute at 69°C (DlistF1/DlistR1, DlistF1/ DlistR3, DlistF1/DlistR4) or 55°C (DlistF2/ DlistR2), and a 3 minute elongation period at 72°C . The reaction was completed with a 5 minute polymerization extension period at 72°C .

PCR amplicons were separated in 1 % agarose gels via electrophoresis. Gels were prepared using 1.25 g Electrophoresis Grade Agarose, 13.5 μL SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen),

125 mL 1X Tris/Borate/EDTA buffer, and were visualized under ultraviolet light. Images were viewed using Quantity One Software and a Gel Documentation (Gel Doc) System (BioRad).

Results

Of the four primer sets that were designed and evaluated, DlistF1/DlistR1 was found to meet all the required criteria of specificity, analytical sensitivity, and efficacy. The forward primer (DlistF1) had maximum query coverage of 86% in NCBI-BLAST, with no tunicate species producing significant alignments. The reverse primer (DlistR1) yielded maximum query coverage of 83% with tunicates *D. simile* and *D. ooru* having only 79% coverage. The remaining three primer sets, though displayed as unique in NCBI-BLAST, were not found to be species specific when tested against other tunicate species (data not shown). The DlistF1/DlistR1 assay was capable of detecting *D. listerianum* DNA from different populations as it successfully amplified *D. listerianum* DNA from both Japan and the Magdalen Islands, with PCR yielding the proper amplicon size of 205 base pairs (Figure 1A). Species identity was confirmed by sequencing. The DlistF1/DlistR1 assay did not amplify the DNA of other invasive tunicate species currently present on PEI (*B. schlosseri*, *C. intestinalis*, *B. violaceus*, or *S. clava*) (Figure 1B). The DlistF1/DlistR1 assay was specific and only detected *D. listerianum* DNA versus other *Diplosoma* species including *D. gumavirens*, *D. ooru*, *D. variostigmatum*, *D. aggregatum*, and *D. watanabei* (Figure 1C).

The primer set DlistF1/DlistR1 detected DNA from 1 zooid, the lower limit chosen for this study due to the colonial nature of *Diplosoma* (Figure 1D). Analytical sensitivity tests performed in environmental water samples demonstrated that 1 zooid was detectable in spiked grab water samples taken from Cardigan Bay, Marchwater Bay, and Montague River (Figure 1E), as well as from sieve concentrated spiked samples taken from Souris Harbour (Figure 1F) and Havre Aubert (Figure 1G). PCR performed for preliminary screening of water samples from Souris Harbour and Havre Aubert did not detect the presence of *D. listerianum* other than the spiked positive control (Figure 1H).

Discussion

The DlistF1/DlistR1 assay was capable of amplifying target DNA from two different populations of *Diplosoma listerianum* (Magdalen Islands, Canada, and the Misaki Shipyard, Japan). This indicates that the assay developed in this study can potentially be used for early detection in the region and has the potential to be used in other geographic locations that may possess different haplotypes, which is an important criterion for invasive species molecular detection tools. Further validation, including testing *D. listerianum* samples from other geographic locations, would confirm the suitability of this assay for widespread geographic use.

Of the four primer sets designed in this study (Table 1), the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay was determined to be specific at the species level as it exclusively amplified *D. listerianum* DNA and did not amplify DNA from any other *Diplosoma* species or DNA from any other tunicate. In addition, the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay did not yield any false positives when used in PCR with environmental water samples, indicating that this assay does not amplify non-target marine organisms which are present in water samples. This level of specificity ensures that false positive results will not be expected when testing for the presence of *D. listerianum* in PEI due to the presence of other tunicate DNA in water samples.

Assay sensitivity is of particular importance in the initial detection of invasive species because low analytical sensitivity could limit the ability to detect minute quantities of DNA associated with early stages of invasion. Some molecular assays for various AIS have been shown to be highly sensitive, detecting as little as 1 larva spiked in 1 mL of ballast water for the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg, 1793 (Harvey et al. 2009), and as little as one egg or larva for the solitary tunicates *Ciona intestinalis* and *Styela clava* (Stewart-Clark et al. 2009). As do most colonial ascidians, *D. listerianum* reproduces sexually via the release of mature larvae that were brooded within the adult colony (Pemberton et al. 2003) as well as asexually by budding as cells either divide and regenerate themselves at the margin of the attached colony or naturally fragment away from the main colony and divide upon settlement on a suitable

substrate (Berill 1935; Bullard et al. 2007; Vance et al. 2008).

As live larvae were unavailable in this study, analytical sensitivity was measured only by the detection of individual zooids (groups of which are embedded in tunic to comprise a fragmented or established colony) (Goodbody 1974; Mackie and Singla, 1987). As larvae spend little time in the water column before settling and metamorphosing (Cloney 1982) zooids may be preferred targets for detection of colonial tunicates due to the likelihood of finding colony fragments dispersed in the water column and their indefinite survival. The molecular assay developed in this study was capable of amplifying 1 zooid of *D. listerianum* in spiked water samples. This was chosen as the unit of highest analytical sensitivity in this study due to the likelihood of fragmentation, a common method of dispersion in colonial tunicates. Fragments can drift in the water column; therefore they are likely to be the smallest detectable measurement of DNA for the invading organism.

Analytical sensitivity of the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay was not impacted by the presence of compounds such as sediment present in water samples or by effluent from nearby processing plants, two potential PCR inhibitors that are often present in environmental water samples (Wilson 1997). Neither was analytical sensitivity impeded by the presence of non-target DNA in environmental water samples, which often contain microscopic and macroscopic non-target biomass. This can be concluded as NanoDrop results demonstrated that the amount of DNA extracted in spiked water samples was far beyond the levels of DNA that could be acquired from the single colony or zooid that was present in the samples (data not shown). Assay analytical sensitivity remained at 1 zooid in spiked water samples taken from various locations across PEI (Marchwater Bay, Montague River, Cardigan Bay, and Souris Harbour) and in Havre Aubert, Quebec. It is important to note that while the assay was not inhibited during PCR using water samples from these locations, results may vary in other locations and during different seasons in the same location. There are many organic and inorganic compounds that can potentially inhibit the Taq polymerases used in PCR (Queiroz et al. 2001), and thus PCR optimization may be required when adapting the use of this assay in different locations. These potential issues with PCR inhibition from environmental samples are

a well known limitation for any PCR based assay but can be controlled for by using positive and negative controls in each assay reaction.

Preliminary evaluation of water samples from Havre Aubert and Souris Harbour using the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay were negative for *D. listerianum* DNA. These results are consistent with the outcome of extensive rapid assessments by visual inspection that was conducted in the Magdalen Islands during July of 2009 by DFO, Quebec region (Jeff Davidson, Personal Communication). This rapid assessment failed to detect *D. listerianum* colonial growth in these harbours despite initial positive detection in October, 2008.

Due to the breadth of factors that influence invasion success there are many potential explanations for the apparent unsuccessful colonization of *D. listerianum* in the Magdalen Islands. Water temperatures are a known influence in seasonal reproduction as water temperatures that fall below 8°C have been shown to affect gonad ripening in this species (Brunetti et al. 1988). Furthermore, winter water temperatures below 4°C inhibit recruitment of *Diplosoma* during the following spring (Osman and Whitlatch 2007) due to altered testicle development (Brunetti 1988). Water conditions also determine the time required for reproduction to resume, with delayed recruitment found in summer seasons which had been preceded by cold winters (Stachowicz et al. 2002). High summer temperatures may not affect reproduction but do alter the mean life span of colonies by affecting rates of maturation. However, due to the recorded success of this invader in cold climates (such as Northern Europe), water temperature in the littoral zone is unlikely to be the sole contributing factor. The roles of many other environmental conditions, including salinity, trophic resources, predation rates, spatial competition, species diversity, and genetic variability of the colonists remain unexamined and should be taken into consideration (Carlton 1996).

Despite the current absence of this nuisance species in either of the areas originally evaluated, the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay developed in this study can be used to develop a program to monitor for and detect the arrival of *D. listerianum* in the waters surrounding PEI, the Magdalen Islands, as well as other areas in the region. However, further field validation of this assay as well as optimization of water sampling methodologies are required in large scale water

samplings to ensure its efficacy as a high throughput screening tool in future monitoring programs. Integration of the DlistF1/DlistR1 assay into future monitoring programs to screen waters surrounding mussel leases, mussel processing plant effluent, as well as ship ballast water will allow for early detection and provide an opportunity for quick response to this potential invader

Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by the Canadian Aquatic Invasive Species Network (CAISN), the Atlantic Innovation fund (ACOA), and the Department of Biology at UPEI. The authors of this paper would like to thank Euichi Hirose and Nathalie Simard for providing *Diplosoma* samples and the International Tunicate DNA Bank for providing all other tunicate samples. The authors would also like to acknowledge Adam Acorn and Fraser Clark for technical advice, the AVC Shellfish Research Group for use of facilities and water sample collection, and the AVC Lobster Science Centre for use of equipment and facilities. The authors would also like to acknowledge and thank the reviewers and editor who strengthened this manuscript.

References

- Altman S, Whitlatch RB (2007) Effects of small-scale disturbance on invasion success in marine communities. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 15–29, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.011
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman, DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25: 3389–3402, doi:10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Anil AC, Venkat K, Sawant SS, Dileepkumar M, Dhargalkar VK, Ramaiah N, Harkantra SN, Ansari ZA (2002) Marine bioinvasion: Concern for ecology and shipping. *Current Science* 83: 214–218
- Arsenault G, Davidson J, Ramsay R (2009) Temporal and spatial development of an infestation of *Styela clava* on mussel farms in Malpeque Bay, Prince Edward Island, Canada. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 189–194, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.1.19
- Astudillo JC, Bravo M, Dumont CP, Thiel M (2009) Detached aquaculture buoys in the SE Pacific: potential dispersal vehicles for associated organisms. *Aquatic Biology* 5: 219–231, doi:10.3354/ab00151
- Bell JL, Grassle JP (1998) A DNA probe for identification of larvae of the commercial surfclam (*Spisula solidissima*). *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 7: 127–137
- Berrill NJ (1935) Studies in tunicate development. part III. Differential retardation and acceleration. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, *Biological Sciences* 225: 255–326, doi:10.1098/rstb.1935.0013
- Boeger WA, Rie MR, Falleiros RM, Ostrensky A, Darrigran G, Mansur MCD, Belz CE (2007) Testing a molecular protocol to monitor the presence of golden mussel larvae (*Limnoperna fortunei*) in plankton samples. *Journal of Plankton Research* 29: 1015–1019, doi:10.1093/plankt/fbm075

- Brunetti R, Bressen M, Marin M, Libralato M (1988) On the ecology and biology of *Diplosoma listerianum* (Milne Edwards, 1841) (Ascidiacea, Didemnidae). *Vie Milieu* 38: 123–131
- Bullard SG, Lambert G, Carman MR, Byrnes J, Whitlatch RB, Ruiz G, Miller RJ, Harris L, Valentine PC, Collie JS, Pederson J, McNaught DC, Cohen AN, Asch RG, Dijkstra J, Heinonen K (2007) The colonial ascidian *Didemnum* sp. A: current distribution, basic biology and potential threat to marine communities of the north-east and west coasts of North America. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 99–108, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.020
- Burton RS (1996) Molecular tools in marine ecology. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 200: 85–101, doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(96)02641-X
- Carman MR, Grunden DW (2010) First occurrence of the invasive tunicate *Didemnum vexillum* in eelgrass habitat. *Aquatic Invasions* 5: 23–29, doi:10.3391/ai.2010.5.1.4
- Carlton JT (1996) Pattern, process, and prediction in marine invasion ecology. *Biological Conservation* 78: 97–106 doi: 10.1016/0006-3207(96)00020-1
- Cloney RA (1982) Ascidian larvae and the events of metamorphosis. *American Zoologist* 22: 817–826
- Darbyson E, Locke A, Hanson JM, Willison JHM (2009) Marine boating habits and the potential for spread of invasive species in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 87–94, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.1.9
- Darling JA, Tepolt CK (2008) Highly sensitive detection of invasive shore crab (*Carcinus maenas* and *Carcinus aestuarii*) larvae in mixed plankton samples using polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphisms (PCR-RFLP). *Aquatic Invasions* 3: 141–152, doi:10.3391/ai.2008.3.2.4
- Deagle BE, Bax N, Hewitt CL, Patil JG (2003) Development and evaluation of a PCR-based test for detection of *Asterias* (Echinodermata: Asteroidea) larvae in Australian plankton samples from ballast water. *Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 54: 709–719, doi:10.1071/MF03031
- Dijkstra J, Harris LG, Westerman E (2007) Distribution and long-term temporal effects of four invasive colonial ascidians in the Gulf of Maine. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 61–68, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.015
- Dijkstra J, Harris LG (2007) Predicting the success of invasive species in the Great Bay estuarine reserve: A final report to the New Hampshire Estuarine Project. New Hampshire Estuarine Project (US Environmental Protection Agency's National Estuary Program), 21 pp
- Gill K, MacNair M, Morrison A (2007) Investigation into the life cycle, impact on mussel culture and mitigation strategies for the vase tunicate (*Ciona intestinalis*), a new invasive species in the Montague/Brudenell River systems. PEI Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture Project # 062AR20
- Gittenberger A (2007) Recent population expansions of non-native ascidians in The Netherlands. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 122–126, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.022
- Gittenberger A (2009) Invasive tunicates on Zealand and Prince Edward Island mussels, and management practice in The Netherlands. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 279–281, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.1.28
- Gonzalez IL, Schmickeel RD (1986) The human 18S ribosomal RNA gene: Evolution and stability. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 38: 419–427
- Goodbody I (1974) The physiology of ascidians. In: Russell FS, Young CM (eds), *Advances in Marine Biology*. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, pp 1–149
- Harris LG, Tyrrell M, Chester CM (1998) Changing ecological patterns for two *Asterias* species in the southwestern Gulf of Maine over a 20 year period. In: Mooi R (ed) *Proceedings of the 9th International Echinoderm Conference*. San Francisco, California, August 5–9, 1996. AA Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp 243–248
- Harris LG, Tyrrell M (2001) Changing community states in the Gulf of Maine: synergism between invaders, overfishing and climate change. *Biological Invasions* 3: 9–21, doi:10.1023/A:1011487219735
- Harvey J, Hoy M, Rodriguez R (2009) Molecular detection of native and invasive marine invertebrate larvae present in ballast and open water environmental samples collected in Puget Sound. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 369: 93–99, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2008.10.030
- Hayes KR, Cannon R, Kerry N, Inglis G (2005) Sensitivity and cost considerations for the detection and eradication of marine pests in ports. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 50: 823–834, doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.02.032
- Jones WJ, Preston CM, Marin III R, Scholin CA, Vrijenhoek RC (2008) A robotic molecular method for in situ detection of marine invertebrate larvae. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 540–550, doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.02021.x
- Kott P (2001) The Australian Ascidiacea. Part 4: Aplousobranchia (3), Didemnidae. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 47: 1–407
- Lambert G (2001) A global overview of ascidians introductions and their possible impact on the endemic fauna. In: Sawada H, Yokosawa H, Lambert CC (eds) *The Biology of Ascidians*, Springer Verlag, Tokyo, pp 249–257
- Lambert G (2007) Invasive sea squirts: A growing global problem. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 3–4, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.009
- Le Roux F, Audemard C, Barnaud A, Berthe F (1999) DNA probes as potential tools for the detection of *Martelia refringens*. *Marine Biotechnology* 1: 588–597, doi:10.1007/PL00011814
- Locke A, Hanson M, Ellis K, Thompson J, Rochette R (2007) Invasion of the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence by the clubbed tunicate (*Styela clava* Herdman): Potential mechanisms for invasions of Prince Edward Island estuaries. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 69–77, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.016
- Locke A (2009) A screening procedure for potential tunicate invaders of Atlantic Canada. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 71–79, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.1.7
- Mackie GO, Singla CL (1987) Propagation and contraction in the tunic of a compound ascidian. *The Biological Bulletin* 173: 188–204, doi:10.2307/1541872
- McKindsey CW, Landry T, O'Beirn FX, Davies IM (2007) Bivalve aquaculture and exotic species: a review of ecological considerations and management issues. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 26: 281–294, doi: 10.2983/0730-8000(2007)26[281:BAESA]2.0.CO;2
- Millar RH (1988) Ascidians collected during the International Indian Ocean Expedition. *Journal of Natural History* 22: 823–848
- Monniot C, Monniot F, Griffiths CL, Schleyer M (2001) South African ascidians. *Annals of the South African Museum* 108: 1–141

- Mouland D (2008) Overview of aquatic invasive species (AIS) prepared for the exotic and invasive species Workshop. Corner Brook, Newfoundland, CA, January 22–23, 2008. Government of Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, CA, 8 pp
- Mountfort DO, Hayden BJ (2007) Techniques for Detection and Enumeration of Marine Biosecurity Risk Species and Compliance testing. Proceedings of a Workshop on Molecular Technologies for the Detection of Marine Pests, Wellington, New Zealand, August 16, 2006. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, New Zealand, 41 pp
- Oliveira C, Fenton B, Molloch G, Brown D, Neilson R (2005) Development of species-specific primers for the ectoparasitic nematode species *Xiphinema brevicolle*, *X. diffusum*, *X. elongatum*, *X. ifacolum* and *X. longicaudatum* (Nematoda: Longidoridae) based on ribosomal DNA sequences. *Annals of Applied Biology* 136: 281–288, doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2005.040031.x
- Osman RW, Whitlatch RB (2007) Variation in the ability of *Didemnum* sp. to invade established communities. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 40–53, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.013
- Paetzold SC, Davidson J (2010) Viability of golden star tunicate fragments after high-pressure water treatment. *Aquaculture* 303: 105–107, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.03.004
- Patil JG, Gunasekera RM, Deagle BE, Bax NJ, Blackburn SI (2005) Development and evaluation of a PCR based assay for detection of the toxic dinoflagellate, *Gymnodinium catenatum* (Graham) in ballast water and environmental samples. *Biological Invasions* 7: 983–994, doi:10.1007/s10530-004-3119-8
- Pemberton AJ, Hughes RN, Manriquez PH, Bishop JD (2003) Efficient utilization of very dilute aquatic sperm: sperm competition may be more likely than sperm limitation when eggs are retained. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B*, 270: 223–226, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2003.0076
- Queiroz AP, Santos FM, Sassaroll A, Harsi CM, Monezi TA, Mehnert DU (2001) Electropositive filter membrane as an alternative for the elimination of PCR inhibitors from sewage and water samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 67: 4614–4618, doi:10.1128/AEM.67.10.4614-4618.2001
- Radstrom P, Knutsson R, Wolffs P, Lovenklev M, Lofstrom C (2004) Pre-PCR processing: Strategies to generate PCR-compatible samples. *Molecular Biotechnology* 26: 133–146, doi:10.1385/MB:26:2:133
- Ramsay A, Davidson J, Landry T, Arsenault G (2008) Process of invasiveness among exotic tunicates in Prince Edward Island, Canada. *Biological Invasions* 10: 1311–1316, doi:10.1007/s10530-007-9205-y
- Rocha RM, Faria SB, Moreno TR (2005) Ascidians from Bocas del Toro, Panama. I. Biodiversity. *Caribbean Journal of Science* 41: 600–612
- Rocha RM, Kremer LP (2005) Introduced ascidians in Paranagua Bay, Parana, southern Brazil. *Revista brasileira de zoologia* 22: 1170–1184, doi:10.1590/S0101-81752005000400052
- Rocha RM, Kremer LP, Baptista MS, Metri R (2009) Bivalve cultures provide habitat for exotic tunicates in southern Brazil. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 195–205, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.1.20
- Sanamyan K, Schories D (2004) Ascidians from Peru. *Spixiana* 27: 193–197
- Schmidt GH, Warner GF (1986) Spatial competition between colonial ascidians: the importance of stand-off. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 31: 101–104, doi:10.3354/meps031101
- Smith G (2009) PEI Mussel Monitoring Program. Technical report No 243, 91 pp
- Smith DL, Wonham MJ, McCann LD, Reid DM, Carlton JT, Ruiz GM (1996) Shipping Study II. Biological Invasions by Nonindigenous Species in United States Waters: Quantifying the Role of Ballast Water and Sediments. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, USA, 136 pp
- Stach T, Turbeville JM (2002) Phylogeny of tunicata inferred from molecular and morphological characteristics. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 25: 408–428, doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(02)00305-6
- Stachowicz JJ, Terwin JR, Whitlatch RB, Osman RW (2002) Climate change and biological invasions: Ocean warming facilitates nonindigenous species invasions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 99: 15497–15500, doi:10.1073/pnas.242437499
- Stewart-Clark SE, Siah A, Greenwood SJ, Davidson J, Berthe FC (2009) Development of 18S rDNA and COI gene primers for the identification of invasive tunicate species in water samples. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 575–580, doi:10.3391/ai.2009.4.4.2
- Thompson R, MacNair N (2004) An overview of the clubbed tunicate (*Styela clava*) in Prince Edward Island. Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Report # 234. PEI Department of Agriculture, Fisheries, Aquaculture and Forestry, 29 pp
- Vance T, Lauterbach L, Lenz M, Wahl M, Sanderson RA, Thomason J (2008) Rapid invasion and ecological interactions of *Diplosoma listerianum* in the North Sea, UK. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2: e59, doi:10.1017/S1755267209000815
- Wilson IG (1997) Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 63: 3741–3751
- Yamaguchi M (1975) Growth and reproductive cycles of the marine fouling ascidians *Ciona intestinalis*, *Styela plicata*, *Botrylloides violaceus*, and *Leptoclinum mitsukurii* at Aburatsubo-Moroiso Inlet (Central Japan). *Marine Biology* 29: 253–259, doi:10.1007/BF00391851